

Abstract

Between 1993 and April 1999 there was no minimum wage in the UK (except in agriculture). In this paper we study the effects of the introduction of a National Minimum Wage (NMW) in April 1999 on one heavily affected sector, the residential care homes industry. This sector contains a large number of low paid workers and as such is a sector one can view as being very vulnerable to minimum wage legislation. We look at the impact on both wages and employment. Our results suggest that the minimum wage raised the wages of a large number of care homes workers, causing a very big wage compression of the lower end of the wage distribution, thereby strongly reducing wage inequality. There is some evidence of employment and hours reductions after the minimum wage introduction, but there appears to be no effect on home closure.

This paper was produced as part of the Centre's Labour Markets Programme

Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to the numerous students who punched the care homes data in to the computer, together with Thomas Metcalf and Danny Goldup who helped with the questionnaires. We would also like to thank Daron Acemoglu, Josh Angrist, Paul Gregg, Dan Hamermesh, Jim Heckman, Steve Levitt, David Metcalf, Nicole Fortin, John Pencavel and participants in seminars or conferences at Chicago, the IMF, MIT, the Reserve Bank of Australia, the SoLE meetings in Baltimore, STICERD (LSE), Southampton, Warwick and Yale for a number of comments and suggestions. Some of the work on this paper was done during the first author's leave during the 2001/2 academic year in the Economics Department at MIT, whose hospitality and support are gratefully acknowledged.

Stephen Machin is a member of the Department of Economics, University College London and Centre for Economic Performance, London School of Economics. Alan Manning is a member of the Department of Economics and Centre for Economic Performance, London School of Economics. Lupin Rahman is a member of STICERD, London School of Economics.

Published by
Centre for Economic Performance
London School of Economics and Political Science
Houghton Street
London WC2A 2AE

© S. Machin, A. Manning and L. Rahman, submitted May 2002

ISBN 0 7530 1565 X

Individual copy price: £5

Where the Minimum Wage Bites Hard: the Introduction of the UK National Minimum Wage to a Low Wage Sector

Stephen Machin, Alan Manning and Lupin Rahman

September 2002

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Minimum Wages in the UK and the Care Homes Sector	3
3.	Data Issues and Descriptive Statistics	5
4.	Wages and the Minimum Wage	8
5.	Employment and the Minimum Wage	13
6.	Conclusions	18
	Tables	20
	Figures	29
	Appendix: Pre Introduction Survey	33
	Appendix: Post Introduction Survey	36
	References	39

1. Introduction

The debate on the economic effects of minimum wages remains a controversial and heavily researched question. In fact there currently appears to be something of a methodological divide amongst economists about what minimum wages actually do to economic outcomes. Some researchers choose to stick to what used to be the orthodoxy, at least since Brown, Gilroy and Kohen's (1982) influential survey of the evidence available up to the late 1970s, that minimum wages are bad for employment, especially teenage employment. Others have been more swayed by the recent 'before and after' micro work (Card, 1992; Card and Krueger, 1994, 1995) which finds it hard to identify any employment effect at all and moves the question on from 'how negative is the minimum wage employment effect?' to 'is there any impact on employment at all?' The vast majority of work used to inform this debate is based upon US data (Brown, 1999). Some fresh evidence, from a different context, probably needs to be cast on these questions.¹ We attempt to offer some evidence of this sort in this paper, where we have a unique design setting induced by the introduction of a minimum wage to a labour market previously unregulated by minimum wage legislation.

In April 1999 a National Minimum Wage (NMW) was introduced to the UK labour market. This is the first time that the UK has had an economy wide minimum wage. Furthermore, because the old industry-based Wages Council system that used to regulate pay in some sectors was abolished in 1993, the NMW was introduced into a labour market with no minimum wage legislation in operation.² Given these circumstances one can think of the introduction of the UK NMW as providing a very good testing ground for evaluating the economic effects of minimum wages. In fact one can plausibly argue that it provides a better testing ground than much of the 'before and after' US work on minimum wage effects (see the discussion in Card and Krueger, 1995) as they are all based upon minimum wage increases where a minimum wage floor was already in place.

In this paper we look at what happened when the UK minimum wage was introduced in a low wage sector one can view as being particularly vulnerable to minimum wages, the UK residential care homes industry. Our analysis is based upon a large-scale survey that we carried out before and after the introduction of the NMW. The survey focused upon the

¹ Indeed, one of Hamermesh's (2002) prime examples of where international empirical work in labour economics is required to inform the US debate is in the area of minimum wage effects.

² Except in agriculture. The Agricultural Wages Board was not abolished along with the other Wages Councils.

whole population of residential care homes in Britain, collecting information on all workers in the homes, and on an array of home characteristics.

We chose to look at this sector for several reasons. First, it contains many low-wage workers, so the minimum wage has real potential to have a noticeable important impact on outcomes.³ Second, we chose this sector because it is not unionised. Thirdly, it consists of large numbers of small firms (average employment being somewhere in the range of 15-20 workers) doing a very homogeneous activity in geographically concentrated markets. The small size of these firms means that monitoring problems are unlikely to be severe because the owner normally also works in the home and also that collection of good quality data on all workers is feasible. Finally, the product market side of this sector is interesting. An important fraction of the residents of these homes have their care paid for by the Department for Social Security (DSS). But, the amount they pay is capped and was not increased when the minimum was introduced. Homes whose residents are paid for by the DSS are then in a situation where they are not able to pass any of their cost increases on to prices: this is likely to increase the scope for identifying employment effects from minimum wage introduction.

There is, of course, one disadvantage to looking at a sector in which minimum wages really ‘bite’, namely that one is more likely to find negative effects of minimum wages on employment. While labour economists have debated the relative merits of perfect competition or monopsony as models to explain the negative, positive or non-existent effects of minimum wages on employment (see, for example, Brown, 1999 for a recent review of this) all models of the labour market predict that a high enough minimum wage will reduce employment. So, one must be careful not to extrapolate from studies of one sector (especially the kind of sector we study) to conclusions about the economy as a whole.

We consider what happened to wages and employment in the care homes sector in the period surrounding the introduction of the NMW. The analysis confirms that the choice of sector is a good one for studying the likely impact of minimum wages. Pay is very low, with the average hourly wage being around £4 just before the minimum wage introduction. Before its introduction around 1 in 3 workers were paid less than the minimum wage. In April 1999 we see a spike in the wage distribution of around 30 percent at the minimum wage. The minimum wage clearly ‘bit hard’ in this sector. It therefore provides a very good environment for looking at the impact of the minimum wage on employment.

³ This logic is, of course, similar in spirit to Castillo-Freeman and Freeman’s (1991) study of Puerto Rico. Focusing on situations where more low paid workers are present obviously heightens the chance of finding employment effects of minimum wages.

We look at the employment effects of the minimum wage by considering what is now a standard technique in the empirical literature on minimum wages and employment. We relate changes in employment before and after the minimum wage introduction to the fraction of low paid workers in the pre-minimum wage period (see, for example, Card's 1992 state based study of an increase in the US federal minimum wage in the early 1990s). In summary we find a sizable wage impact, together with employment and hours reductions following the introduction of the minimum wage, but there appears to be no effect on home closure.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. Section 2 presents a brief history of minimum wage legislation in the UK and some summary statistics on employment over time in the sector upon which we focus. Section 3 describes our data collection process and presents some descriptive statistics. Section 4 reports on our empirical findings on the wage effects of the minimum wage. Section 5 then moves on to consider the employment and hours effects, together with a brief investigation of other possible outcomes. Section 6 then concludes.

2. Minimum Wages in the UK and the Care Homes Sector

Minimum Wages in the UK

Unlike many other countries, minimum wages have historically not had a very important role to play in the UK labour market. This is certainly true at the national level where there has never been an economy wide minimum wage floor. But minimum wages used to be a factor in some low wage sectors. There used to be an industry-based system of minimum wage floors, the Wages Councils, which operated from 1909 to their abolition in 1993. These Wages Councils covered around 12 percent of the workforce at the time of their abolition. In some earlier work we have studied the impact of the Wages Councils, concluding that their activities did little to harm employment, but that they compressed the wage structures of the sectors in which they operated (Dickens, Machin and Manning, 1999; Dolado *et al*, 1996).

Following its election in 1997, Tony Blair's Labour Government was committed to introducing a National Minimum Wage (as the Labour Party had been in earlier elections that they lost). It set up a Low Pay Commission consisting of academics and representatives of employers and workers to report on a suitable form and level (see Low Pay Commission,

1998). Eventually, a minimum wage of £3.60 per hour was introduced in April 1999 for those aged 22 or older, with a lower youth rate of £3 per hour for those aged 18-21 inclusive (those aged less than 18 were not covered). The Low Pay Commission expected that around 9 percent of workers would be directly affected and those workers would, on average, receive a 30 percent boost to their pay (Metcalf, 1999). These numbers have subsequently been revised down, with it seeming more likely that about 6 percent of workers' wages were raised up to the minimum (Dickens and Manning, 2001). This, of course, still amounts to a potentially large impact on the labour market.

Moreover, it is also clear that there is systematic variation in who benefited from minimum wage introduction. Metcalf (1999) also notes the increased importance of the minimum wage for part-time female workers. Of the workers he estimates to be directly affected by the introduction of the minimum wage around 55 percent were women working part-time. The sector upon which we focus, the care homes sector, and particularly its principal occupation, that of care assistant, is very female intensive and has many part-time workers. Furthermore, as we will see when we describe our data more fully below, the majority of workers in this sector possess few formal qualifications and wages are very low. As such this is very much a sector that had the potential to be hit hard by the minimum wage.

The Labour Market for Care Assistants

The occupation of care assistant is not only one of the lowest paid occupations⁴ but also is an increasingly important one in the UK. Indeed, not surprisingly given the aging of the population, the employment of care assistants has risen in recent years in the UK. One can gain some idea about the aggregate picture from looking at the number of care assistants in the quarterly Labour Force Survey (LFS). Figure 1 shows total employment of care assistants in the private sector between 1994 and 2001.⁵ The vertical line on the Figure refers to the date of minimum wage introduction.

The Figure shows a rise in the number of private sector care assistants from around 220000 in 1994 to 330000 by 2001. But closer scrutiny of the Figure shows that, according to these aggregate numbers, there appears to be a moderate slowing down of employment growth in the later time period after minimum wage introduction. Of course, this can only be

⁴ Taking a look at the occupational breakdowns in the published New Earnings Survey Tables each year normally finds the lowest paid occupation in the UK to be either hairdressers or care assistants.

suggestive as business cycle factors may be at play here. However, looking at the temporal pattern of residuals from a regression of care assistant employment on the aggregate unemployment rate (or on GDP) still reveals the slower increases occurring post-minimum wage introduction (especially into the year 2000)⁶.

This is illustrative of general employment trends for care assistants. However, to properly consider the question of employment effects of minimum wage introduction in a more systematic and rigorous fashion one really requires micro-data on a big enough sample of care assistants before and after minimum wage introduction. This is therefore what we turn to next.

3. Data Issues and Descriptive Statistics

Most existing UK data sources are really not well suited to carrying out a detailed before and after evaluation of the economic impact of the introduction of the UK minimum wage.⁷ We therefore decided to collect our own data. We wanted to focus on a situation where the minimum wage had the potential to have an important impact and so chose to collect data on employers and workers in residential care homes.

As already noted, there are several compelling reasons for choosing this sector. First, it is a leading employer of many low wage workers. As already noted, the principal occupation, care assistants, is one of the lowest paid occupations in the UK. Second, most homes are reasonably small (average employment size < 20; median employment size = 15) and this enables us to collect data on all workers within the homes. Third, there are basically no trade unions to distort wage-setting procedures in this sector.

⁵ Each point on Figure corresponds to the mid-month of each LFS quarter (which respectively cover the months March-May, June-August, September-November, December-February).

⁶ Separate regressions of the log of the number care assistants on $\log(\text{unemployment rate})$ and $\log(\text{gdp})$ produced coefficients (standard errors) of $-0.68 (.04)$ and $1.18 (.07)$. Both regressions had an R-squared of .91.

⁷ For example the survey containing the best wage data, and which covers the largest sample of workers, is the New Earnings Survey (NES). This has several serious shortcomings if one wished to try and evaluate the impact of minimum wage introduction. First, it is carried out in April of each year which is unfortunate as the minimum wage was introduced in April 1999. Wages contained in the survey are therefore a mish mash of pre- and post-introduction wages. Second, and even more important, it undersamples low wage part-time workers (as workers below the National Insurance weekly earnings lower limit are not well picked up). The other commonly used micro-data suffer from other problems. First most (like the General Household Survey or the Family Expenditure Survey) simply do not contain enough low wage workers. Second as they are employee, rather than employer, surveys they are likely to be characterised by measurement errors in wages (see Dickens and Manning's, 2001, analysis of Labour Force Survey data).

Sample Design

Our sample design was to sample the population of UK care homes before and after the introduction of the minimum wage. We obtained lists of all homes from the Yellow Pages Business Database in July 1998 (for the pre-minimum sampling) and in May 1999 (for the post-minimum sampling). There were 11635 care homes in the former and 11036 homes in the latter. As one of the things one might be interested in is the extent to which employers adjusted wages before the minimum wage introduction we sampled (based on area stratification) one-ninth of the homes in each of the nine months before minimum wage introduction, and then we re-sampled the homes (including new homes), again one-ninth at a time, in the nine months following the introduction of the wage floor. We also identified home closures that occurred over this time period.

The questionnaire was mailed to the manager of the care homes and asked a range of questions about the home and about the views managers (who are often home owners) had about the minimum wage. For obvious reasons, the precise nature of the attitudinal questions was different for questionnaires sent out before and after the introduction of the minimum wage. Managers were also asked to provide data on job title, sex, age, length of service, possession of a nursing qualification, weekly hours and hourly wages for all workers. The exact questionnaires are reproduced in the Appendix to the paper.

For a postal survey we achieved a reasonable response rate (of the order of around 20 percent) and, in terms of number of residents, area and employment size of homes, the responses were representative of the population as a whole. In a small number of homes managers were less likely to complete all the information on worker characteristics. In these cases where there was missing information on hourly wages and/or hours we imputed them using the average for that job within that firm. We report both results including and excluding imputed figures below.

Descriptive Statistics

Some features of the sample are described in Table 1. The first two columns show the characteristics of the total sample of workers and homes pre and post minimum wage introduction, the middle two for all homes that we obtained some responses on worker

characteristics in both sampling periods (the balanced panel of homes) and the final two columns further exclude homes where worker information supplied was very patchy.⁸

The Table reveals a number of pertinent features of the labour market under study. First, and probably most striking, wages really are very low in the care homes sector. Before the introduction of the minimum wage average wages were about £4 per hour (whether or not we include imputed wages does not make much difference). This sector therefore clearly satisfies one of the criteria we wanted to emphasise in a study of the impact of minimum wage introduction, namely that the imposition of the minimum wage floor had the potential to affect a large number of workers.

The second clear feature of the wages data is that they rose sharply after minimum wage introduction. Average wages went up by somewhere around 24 pence per hour (or by around 6 percent). We consider this in much more detail in the next Section where we discuss the ‘bite’ of the minimum wage introduction. Of course, the requirement that the minimum wage introduction significantly affected wages and their distribution is a prerequisite for going on to look at employment effects.

The Table also documents other characteristics of the sample. Average home size is fairly small, both in terms of workers and residents. Mean employment is in the range of 16 to 17 workers, and the average number of residents is around 17 to 18 per home. They both remain fairly constant before and after the minimum wage introduction.

As stated earlier the principal occupation in this sector is care assistants. This occupational group comprises over 60 percent of the workers in the sample. The workers are typically older workers (average age about 40), overwhelmingly female, working an average of 25 hours per week. Only around 1 in 10 possess a nursing qualification (other educational qualifications are not relevant in this sector).

Finally, we have collected other home level information on the occupancy rate of beds and, since the sector has price regulation operating through local authorities, on the percent of residents who pay local authority prices for beds. This latter feature of the sector is, of course, very interesting in the context of minimum wage effects. One argument sometimes put forward in the literature is that one may not observe employment responses to minimum wages if employers are able to pass minimum wage increases on to consumers in the form of higher prices. This seems unlikely to happen in the care homes sector as prices are, in many cases, regulated by local authorities. In this regard, it is useful to note that prices do not seem

⁸ More specifically we exclude homes where less than half of the workers have missing hours or wage information.

to go up by much after the minimum wage introduction. We return to possible price responses later, after considering the wage and employment effects of the minimum wage introduction.

4. Wages and the Minimum Wage

Before considering the employment consequences of minimum wage introduction, one clearly needs to establish that the minimum wage had the effect one expects on wages and the distribution of wages. As noted above, confirming that the minimum wage introduction had real ‘bite’ and affected the wages of low wage workers in the expected direction is clearly a prerequisite before one goes on to look at the impact on employment.

The ‘Bite’ of The Minimum Wage Introduction

The UK National Minimum Wage was introduced in April 1999 at £3.60 per hour for workers aged 22 or more, and at £3.00 per hour for 18-21 year olds. When presenting measures of the impact of the minimum wage we sometimes use these age-specific minimum wages and sometimes just the adult minimum: the reason for this is that there is a spike in the youth wage distribution at the adult minimum after the introduction so that one could argue that the adult minimum is the effective minimum (see Katz and Krueger, 1992, for evidence that in the US the youth sub-minimum is rarely used).

Table 2 reports on our investigations of the impact of minimum wage introduction on wages. The numbers in the Table demonstrate a clear importance of minimum wage introduction for care home workers. The Table shows the percentage of workers paid less than the minimum wage prior to its introduction, how far wages would have to be increased if these workers’ wages were raised to the minimum and the percentage paid at exactly the minimum (the size of the minimum wage spike in the wage distribution). As with Table 1 statistics are reported for all care homes, for the balanced panel and then for the balanced panel that excludes homes with limited worker information.

The first thing to notice in the Table is that the sector we are studying is one that, conditional on the minimum wage introduction being binding, had the potential to be very heavily affected by the national minimum wage. Around 32 percent of workers were paid

below the (age-specific) minimum wage before it was introduced. Around 38 percent were paid below the adult minimum rate.

Table 2 also presents measures of the ‘wage gap’, namely the average increase in wages needed to bring workers beneath the mandated minimum up to the minimum. The

wage gap in firm i is computed as
$$GAP_i = \frac{\sum_j h_{ji} \max(W_{ji}^{\min} - W_{ji}, 0)}{\sum_j h_{ji} W_{ji}}$$
 where h_{ji} is the weekly

hours worked by worker j in firm i , W_{ji} is the hourly wage of worker j in firm i , and W_{ji}^{\min} is the minimum wage relevant for worker j in firm i (this might be the age-specific or the adult minimum). Table 2 shows that the wage gap averages 4 percent using the age-specific minimum and 4.7 percent using the adult minimum. Again this confirms a potentially very large impact of the minimum wage introduction on the sector.

That the minimum wage impacted hard on the wage structure of care homes is borne out by looking at the post-minimum columns of the Table. First, there seems to be very little under-payment (around 1 percent for all homes or slightly lower in the balanced panels). However, something one might be concerned about here is that firms that subsequently pay illegally below the minimum wage do not respond to our survey. But if this was true we would expect to see the initial wage levels in our sample as a whole being below those in our balanced panel as the latter group also respond after the minimum wage is increased: in Table 1 one can see that there is no evidence that this is the case.⁹

The second feature of Table 2 that shows the minimum wage ‘bit’ hard is the very noticeable spike at the minimum after April 1999. Something like 28 or 29 percent of workers were paid the age-specific minimum after introduction and around 30 to 31 percent were paid the adult minimum. One should notice here that the spike is measured at exactly the minimum wage (not as plus or minus a small range around the minimum as in some other studies).

Not surprisingly the minimum wage introduction therefore had a sizable impact on wage dispersion. The gap between the 50th and 10th percentiles of the log (hourly wage) distribution narrowed from .21 to .09. At the same time the upper half of the distribution was unaffected with the gap between the 90th and 50th percentiles of the distribution not changing. The 90-50 gap was .34 in both pre- and post-minimum time periods.¹⁰

⁹ We also investigated whether the sample response rates fell disproportionately in the low-wage regions where the minimum wage had more bite. There was no evidence this was the case.

¹⁰ See Dickens and Manning (2001) for an in-depth analysis of the impact of minimum wage introduction on wage inequality in the UK.

Minimum Wage Introduction and Care Home Wages

The numbers in Table 2 show a strong impact of minimum wage introduction on the care homes wage distribution. But, for our empirical analysis, we would also like evidence that the homes we would most expect to be affected were, indeed, the most affected. This is the purpose of this sub-section. More specifically the basic wage change equations we estimate are of the form:

$$\Delta \ln W_{it} = \alpha_1 + \beta_1 \text{MIN}_{i,t-1} + \delta_1 X_{i,t-1} + \varepsilon_{it} \quad (1)$$

where $\Delta \ln W_{it}$ is the change in wages for home i in the period surrounding minimum wage introduction ($t-1$ denotes the period before, t the post-minimum period), $\text{MIN}_{i,t-1}$ is a measure of the importance of the minimum wage for home i (defined below), $X_{i,t-1}$ is the ($t-1$) level home and worker characteristics¹¹ and ε_{it} is an error term. The key parameter of interest is β_1 which measures the relation between wage changes and the minimum holding constant the other factors we control for.

There are some practical concerns with this kind of equation. The first issue is how one measures $\text{MIN}_{i,t-1}$. We use the two measures of the impact of the minimum wage already discussed in Table 2: the proportion of workers paid less than the minimum wage in the period before its introduction, and the wage gap. It is not clear which is the better measure. For example, if the minimum wage caused all workers initially paid below it to lose their jobs, then the headcount might be the better measure, but if it is more difficult to raise the productivity of those a long way below the minimum wage than those near it, then the wage gap measure might be better.

The second main modeling issue concerns identification. Because the minimum wage introduction in the UK was at national level the variation across homes in the impact of the minimum wage all comes from variation in the initial level of wages. β_1 is then only a true measure of the impact of the minimum wage if, in the absence of the minimum wage, there would be no relationship between the initial level of wages and the change in wages (i.e. if wages follow a random walk). This is the implicit identification assumption in using an equation like (1) to estimate the impact of the minimum wage.

¹¹ We also considered models where the change in X , ΔX_{it} , was entered. This made very little difference throughout our analysis.

We test this identifying assumption in two related ways that consider whether the relationship between wage changes and their initial level shifted in the period surrounding minimum wage introduction relative to an earlier period when no minimum wage was in place. We first look at the relationship between wage changes and initial wages in the minimum wage introduction period (1998/99) as compared to the relationship estimated from some data we collected in an earlier time period (1992/93) for care homes on the south coast of England.¹² Second we consider whether the relationship between wage changes and the minimum wage variable altered across these two time periods by constructing a counterfactual minimum wage variable (placing the minimum at the same percentile point of the initial wage distribution¹³) in the earlier non-minimum wage time period. We do this for both the headcount and wage gap measures. The two approaches thereby enable us to consider whether one observes a different association in the period surrounding minimum wage introduction as compared to an earlier time period where no such policy was in place.

Estimates of Wage Change Equations

Table 3 reports estimates of home-level wage change equations for hourly wages (upper panel) and for weekly wages (lower panel) in the period surrounding minimum wage introduction. Four specifications are reported in each case. Rows (1) and (2) present estimates of wage equations including the initial low pay proportion to measure $MIN_{i, t-1}$. They differ in that the first specification excludes and the second specification includes a set of control variables. Rows (3) and (4) then present analogous specifications using the wage gap measure as an independent variable.

In all cases there is evidence of bigger wage increases in homes with more low-wage workers in the pre-minimum wage period. The associations are strong in statistical terms and are sizable. They also look rather similar for hourly and weekly wages. For example, according to row (2) of the upper panel of Table 3 a home with one third of its workers paid less than the minimum saw average wage growth of 3.3 percent higher than one which had

¹² See Machin, Manning and Woodland (1993) for more detail on this earlier survey. This 1992/93 survey was carried out for the same reason as the current one, namely to evaluate the impact of minimum wage introduction on care homes. The first wave of the survey was carried out before the April 1992 election as the Labour Party manifesto had committed to introduce a minimum wage if they were elected. Their loss of the election meant our plan to carry out a before and after analysis of minimum wage introduction was scuppered. We still, however, collected data after the election period and so can look at before and after changes with these data as well.

¹³ This corresponds to £2.75 per hour.

one tenth of its workers paid less than the minimum.¹⁴ This is large given that average wage growth was around 6 percent in this time period.

These results appear to establish an important impact on wages of the minimum wage but, as noted above, it may merely be because there has always been a link between wage growth and initial low pay. So we investigate this in some detail in Table 4. We do so in the two main ways already mentioned above. First, we estimate equations relating wage change to the initial period average wage in the periods surrounding minimum wage introduction and in the earlier 1992/93 time period for which we have data where no minimum wage was in place. This equation takes the form:

$$\Delta \ln W_{it} = \alpha_2 + \beta_2 \ln W_{i,t-1} + \nu_{it} \quad (2)$$

where the focus is now on estimating the association between wage changes and the initial average wage, namely the parameter β_2 (ν is an error term).

The second way in which we benchmark our results against the earlier time period is to return to equation (1) and specify a counter-factual minimum wage in the earlier non-minimum wage period so as to compute measures of the initial low pay proportion and wage gap variables. We do so by placing this counter-factual minimum at the same percentile point of the wage distribution.

Table 4 reports these results for hourly wages. For all three specifications there is a clear shift in the relationship between wage changes and the initial wage measures in the period surrounding minimum wage introduction as compared to the earlier time period. The significantly negative coefficient on the initial wage in the 1998/99 model in row (1) of the Table demonstrates very clearly that, in the period surrounding minimum wage introduction, wage growth was higher in firms with lower wages in the initial period. In the earlier non-minimum wage period (1992/93) there is also a negative coefficient on the initial wage, but it is clear that its magnitude is much smaller (in absolute terms) than in 1998/99. The final column reports the gap between the two and it is very clear that the relationship shifted markedly between the two time periods.

Some graphs also serve to make the same point. Figure 2a plots the relationship between average wage growth and the initial log wage in 1998/99 and Figure 2b does the same for the 1992/93 data. While it is very clear that there is a negative relationship in both

¹⁴ The calculation is $.145 * (.33 - .10) = .033$.

periods, the strong diagonal effect on homes with initial low wages is very apparent in the 1998/99 data. This reveals a clear impact of the minimum wage.

In rows (2) and (3) of Table 4 we also report results of wage growth equations including the initial minimum wage variables for the 1998/99 data and the counter-factual initial minimum wage variables for the 1992/93 data. These very much reconfirm the pattern from the row (1) models. There is a marked shift between periods for both measures as one can see a much stronger positive impact of the initial minimum wage variables in the period surrounding minimum wage introduction. Indeed, there is no relationship between wage changes and the initial low pay proportion in the earlier non-minimum wage period and a much smaller association with the initial wage gap measure. This shift is borne out by the positive, statistically significant gaps given in the final column of the Table.

All in all, the evidence of this Section has established a clear and important effect of minimum wage introduction on care home wages. We turn to investigate the possible employment effects in the next section.

5. Employment and the Minimum Wage

We analyse the employment consequences of the minimum wage introduction using the same kind of methodology as the wage analysis. We estimate home-level equations that relate changes in employment or hours in the period surrounding minimum wage introduction to headcount and wage measures of the extent of initial low pay. And, because of the identification questions already raised, we look at the relationship between changes in employment and initial wage levels in the period of minimum wage introduction and the period where no minimum wage was in place. We begin with the latter in the next subsection, and then move on to the former after that.

Changes in Employment and Initial Wages

As before, the implicit identification assumption here is that, in the absence of the minimum wage, there would be no relationship between employment growth and the initial level of wages. It is not obvious this is the case (e.g. homes that are doing less well might pay lower

wages and have lower employment growth) so we start by investigating the relationship between employment growth and initial wages.

Table 5 reports the results of carrying out this exercise for the period surrounding minimum wage introduction (1998/99) and for the earlier period (1992/93) where no such policy intervention occurred. The upper panel of the Table reports models where changes in log total employment are related to the initial period wage measures, whilst the lower panel reports results where the dependent variable is the change in log total hours.

The first thing to note is that the correlations of employment changes with initial wage measures tend to be weaker than the correlations with wage changes considered earlier. That said, there is some evidence (of varying strength depending on specification) of shifts occurring between the ‘policy on’ and ‘policy off’ time periods. In all cases but one, the sign of the relationship switches between periods, revealing a tilt in the relationship so that, in the period surrounding minimum wage introduction, employment growth tended to be reduced in homes that were impacted more by minimum wage introduction. And some of the gaps in the final column are statistically significant (or on the borders of significance, the one exception being the initial period low proportion in the upper panel of the Table). We take this as some preliminary evidence that the relationship between employment and low wages may have shifted in the period surrounding minimum wages.

Changes in Employment and Initial Minimum Wage Variables

In this sub-section we consider in more detail the relationship between home-level employment and hours changes and the minimum wage variables in the period surrounding minimum wage introduction. Figures 3 and 4 plot the basic data we use to look at these. The change in log total employment is plotted against the initial proportion low-paid in Figure 3a and against the initial wage-gap in 3b. Figures 4a and 4b do the same but with the change in log total hours on the left-hand axis. Eyeballing these scatterplots does not reveal any distinct pattern, despite the very clear wage evidence presented earlier.

In the first instance we estimate reduced form employment change equations of the form:

$$\Delta \ln N_{it} = \alpha_3 + \beta_3 \text{MIN}_{it-1} + \delta_3 X_{it-1} + \zeta_{it} \quad (3)$$

Various estimates of equation (3) are given in columns (1) through (6) of Table 6, for changes in total employment in the upper panel and for changes in total hours in the lower panel of the Table. In the final two columns of the Table we also present structural estimates of labour demand equations from a simple structural model of the following form (equation (1) is reproduced from above):

$$\Delta \ln N_{it} = \alpha_4 + \beta_4 \Delta \ln W_{it} + \delta_4 X_{i,t-1} + \omega_{it} \quad (4)$$

$$\Delta \ln W_{it} = \alpha_1 + \beta_1 \text{MIN}_{i,t-1} + \delta_1 X_{i,t-1} + \varepsilon_{it} \quad (1)$$

We are able to estimate β_4 , the wage elasticity of labour demand, by using $\text{MIN}_{i,t-1}$ as an instrumental variable for the wage change (equation (1) can thus be thought of as the first stage regression in the IV procedure). It is also straightforward to see how this relates to the reduced form models (1) and (3) where the labour demand elasticity β_4 is given by β_3/β_1 .

Turning to the results in the Table, columns (1) and (4) report the coefficient on the initial low pay proportion and the initial wage gap respectively in a regression where the dependent variable is the change in log employment or log hours and there are no other controls. In these basic models the estimated impact of the minimum wage is negative, though not significantly different from zero. However the addition of controls and restriction of the sample to those with relatively complete information on worker characteristics (columns (2) and (3) for the headcount, columns (5) and (6) for the wage gap) makes the coefficients both larger in absolute terms and more significant. In these specifications we are able to pin down a significant negative effect on employment growth.

The Table also reports implicit elasticities calculated on the basis of a minimum wage increase of 40p (the adult rate going from £3.60 to £4.00). These ask the question: what would the additional effect on employment have been if the minimum wage had been introduced at a higher level? In the models with controls these elasticities are in the range of $-.15$ to $-.40$. These are reasonably sizable in the context of the minimum wage literature, though are not so big given the very large impact of minimum wage introduction on the wages and wage distribution of care home workers.

The other, related, way to look at the employment response to wage changes is to directly compute the elasticity of employment with respect to the wage, using the initial wage gap variable as an instrument for the change in average wages. Structural estimates of employment change equations are reported in columns (7) and (8). They reveal a well

determined negative wage effect that is moderate in size¹⁵, ranging from about -.35 to -.55, depending on the specification.

While the employment effects are clearly not as strong as the wage effects considered, they do suggest that employers cut employment and hours in response to the minimum wage. One might wonder how this is possible in this sector as these firms are regulated and there are minimum standards of care for the residents that are mandated. However, inspection of these homes is far from perfect and the sector is notorious for examples of homeowners providing inadequate standards of care (for example, they have been the subject of several TV documentaries). It seems plausible that managers face a trade-off between the fraction of the time they are in breach of care guidelines (which is determined by employment) and the probability of being fined when inspected in that situation. In addition, owner-managers often work considerable hours in the homes themselves and may have the ability to substitute their labour for that of paid help.

Home Closures and Openings

Thus far we have restricted attention to the balanced panel of continuing homes. However, the sector does have high turnover of workers and businesses. We have therefore also looked at whether home closure and opening rates are connected to the incidence of low-wage workers in the period before minimum wage introduction. We present two sets of analysis on this issue. The first looks at home closure and initial low pay incidence using the home level data. The second compares county-level closure and entry rates to the low pay structure of the area before the minimum wage came in.¹⁶

Table 7 contains the home-level closure equations. Four specifications are reported, using the two minimum wage variables, and either including or excluding the control variables. Our analysis is necessarily short run (due to the dating of our home-level data) and it is clear that effects may differ if one looks at a longer period, but for the analysis we undertake there is a clear pattern. In none of the specifications is there any evidence that the homes with more low-wage workers shut down because of the imposition of the minimum wage. All coefficients are estimated to be positive, as one would expect if one hypothesised

¹⁵ See Hamermesh (1993) for a very detailed consideration of the large body of work that estimates labour demand elasticities.

¹⁶ Counties are a lower level of area aggregation than the standard UK regions. We look at entry and closure in 55 counties.

that the rising wage costs ensuing from the minimum wage would cause closure, but they are all statistically insignificant (and with small marginal effects).

The second analysis, now at county-level, is considered in Table 8. Here we report the same kinds of specifications as in Table 7 for county-level closure rates in the upper panel of the Table and for county-level entry rates in the lower panel. Again, none of the associations is significant in statistical terms. It seems that differential entry and exit related to wage levels are unlikely to have contributed to reducing employment in the care homes sector over the minimum wage introduction period.

Other Outcomes and the Minimum Wage

This section briefly investigates the impact of the minimum wage on other outcomes. It is possible that they may have ‘passed on’ increased wage costs from the minimum wage introduction through higher prices though the extent to which this is possible may be limited by the price regulation in place. Second, there may have had to be re-organizations that could raise productivity (e.g. quality of care improvements, or increases in care worker productivity, or simply making people work harder for their higher wages).

We consider these possibilities in Table 9. The upper panel reports price change equations and the lower two panels consider two productivity measures, using the same kind of models as for wages and employment. The first productivity measure used is changes in residents per worker hour, the second comes from managers’ responses to a question about whether they think worker effort went up, stayed the same, or fell in the period around minimum wage introduction.

Perhaps not surprisingly given the nature of price regulation in the care homes sector we find no evidence that prices rose by more in the initial low wage firms. All the estimated coefficients in the upper panel are small and none of the coefficients approach anything near statistical significance (all have t -ratios < 1). As such there seems to be no evidence that minimum wage increases might have been passed on through higher prices in this sector.

There is also not much evidence in line with the re-organization/productivity improvement idea considered in the lower panels of Table 9. While the estimated coefficients on the minimum wage variables in both the change in residents per worker hour and subjective effort change equations are all estimated to be positive, none are significantly different from zero when the control variables are included.

6. Conclusions

In this paper we have presented empirical work on the wage and employment consequences of the recent introduction of the UK national minimum wage for a specific sector of the UK labour market. As we argued in the introduction to the paper we think examination of the economic effects of this minimum wage introduction provides a good testing ground for looking at minimum wage effects and provides an ideal opportunity for presenting some fresh evidence on the debate about what minimum wages do. We implement our empirical study by focusing on workers in the care homes industry, a low wage sector that was highly vulnerable to minimum wage introduction. In the absence of suitable data from existing sources we chose to examine the minimum wage impact by carrying out our own survey before and after the minimum wage was introduced.

From our analysis of these data we find there to have been a very important wage compression effect on the bottom half of the wage distribution in this low wage sector. Before its introduction around 1 in 3 care home workers were paid less than the minimum wage. In April 1999 we see a spike in the wage distribution of around 30 percent at exactly the minimum wage (and little evidence of non-compliance). This resulted in wage growth being considerably higher in the period surrounding minimum wage workers in homes who had more low-paid workers before the minimum came in. This seems to establish that the minimum wage had considerable 'bite' on wages and this substantially altered the structure of wages of this sector.

Turning to the employment consequences, we are able to find some evidence of employment and hours reductions occurring in homes after minimum wage introduction. We can uncover no evidence that homes had to close down in response to the minimum wage. This seems to be in line with the aggregate behaviour of the labour market for care assistants, where the fast employment increases of the pre-minimum wage period slowed down in 1999 and 2000.

Of course, one should be very careful to note that the sector we have examined is particularly vulnerable to the minimum wage as it has very many low-paid workers. It is also rather special in that its product market structure means homes are constrained in their ability to pass higher wage costs on into higher prices. Given this, and the fact that studies of the impact of minimum wage introduction on the overall UK labour market uncover little evidence of job loss (Stewart, 2001), one should remain cautious in drawing conclusions

from this study about the impact of the introduction of the National Minimum Wage on the UK as a whole.

Table 1: Survey Descriptive Statistics

	All Firms		Balanced Panel		Balanced Panel (Excluding firms with a lot of missing worker information)	
	Pre-Minimum	Post-Minimum	Pre-Minimum	Post-Minimum	Pre-Minimum	Post-Minimum
Number of Homes	1866	2142	641	641	615	615
Number of Workers	17.2 (12.2)	17.2 (13.0)	16.4 (9.7)	16.7 (11.9)	16.5 (9.7)	16.8 (11.9)
Hourly Wage (None imputed)	4.03 (0.85)	4.27 (0.80)	4.00 (0.80)	4.24 (0.72)	3.98 (0.74)	4.23 (0.71)
Hourly Wage (With imputed)	4.01 (0.82)	4.26 (0.75)	3.98 (0.75)	4.23 (0.71)	3.98 (0.74)	4.22 (0.70)
Weekly Hours (None imputed)	25.6 (6.5)	25.2 (6.1)	24.9 (6.3)	25.0 (5.8)	24.7 (5.9)	24.8 (5.7)
Weekly Hours (With imputed)	25.8 (6.5)	25.4 (6.0)	25.2 (6.2)	25.2 (5.8)	24.9 (5.9)	25.0 (5.6)
Weekly Earnings (None imputed)	103.85 (38.92)	108.31 (37.65)	100.41 (37.84)	106.47 (34.33)	99.00 (33.79)	105.59 (33.97)
Weekly Earnings (With imputed)	104.14 (37.11)	108.76 (35.96)	100.61 (34.55)	107.11 (33.86)	99.70 (33.61)	106.23 (33.65)
Proportion of workers with missing information	0.10	0.11	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.04
Proportion Female	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.93	0.93	0.93
Average Age	40.1 (6.6)	40.7 (6.9)	40.3 (6.3)	40.5 (6.5)	40.3 (6.2)	40.5 (6.5)
Proportion Care Assistants	0.63	0.61	0.63	0.64	0.63	0.64
Proportion With Nursing Qualification	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11
Number of Beds	20.7 (36.6)	19.7 (16.3)	18.5 (18.5)	19.0 (19.8)	18.6 (18.8)	19.1 (20.2)
Number of Residents	18.5 (35.7)	17.8 (15.0)	16.5 (17.7)	17.0 (18.8)	16.6 (18.0)	17.1 (19.1)
Occupancy Rate	0.87	0.89	0.88	0.89	0.88	0.89
Average Weekly Price per Bed (£)	252.4 (86.1)	258.2 (92.3)	250.1 (78.3)	257.4 (79.3)	249.6 (78.3)	256.9 (78.9)
Proportion DSS/Local Authority	0.55	0.58	0.52	0.57	0.52	0.57

Notes:

Standard deviations in parentheses. Pre-Minimum observations refer to responses received before April 1999 and Post-Minimum to responses received after March 1999. Care Assistants include senior, day and junior carers but exclude night carers and sleep-ins. The final two columns exclude homes where less than half of the workers have missing hours or wage information.

Table 2: The “Bite” of the Minimum Wage Introduction

	All Firms		Balanced Panel		Balanced Panel (Excluding firms with a lot of missing worker information)	
	Pre- Minimum	Post- Minimum	Pre- Minimum	Post- Minimum	Pre- Minimum	Post- Minimum
% Paid Less Than Minimum Wage	32.3	1.0	31.2	0.8	31.6	0.7
% Paid Less Than Adult Minimum Wage	38.2	4.2	37.7	4.3	38.2	4.3
Wage Gap	0.039	0.002	0.041	0.003	0.039	0.002
Adult Wage Gap	0.047	0.006	0.049	0.007	0.047	0.007
% Paid Exactly Minimum Wage	8.7	27.7	9.3	28.4	9.5	28.7
% Paid Exactly Adult Minimum Wage	8.6	30.0	9.0	30.6	9.3	31.0
Number of Homes	1866	2142	641	641	615	615

Notes:

Pre-Minimum observations refer to responses received before April 1999 and Post-Minimum to responses received after March 1999. The final two columns exclude homes where less than half of the workers have missing hours or wage information.

Table 3: Changes in Log Wages and Initial Period Wage Measures in the Period Surrounding Minimum Wage Introduction

Change in Log Average Hourly Wage							
	Time Period	Initial Low Pay Proportion	Initial Wage Gap	Initial Log Wage	Controls	R ²	Number of Homes
(1)	1998/99	.145 (.012)			No	.19	641
(2)	1998/99	.149 (.021)			Yes	.30	598
(3)	1998/99		.800 (.070)		No	.36	641
(4)	1998/99		.815 (.086)		Yes	.45	598
Change in Log Average Weekly Wage							
	Time Period	Initial Low Pay Proportion	Initial Wage Gap	Initial Log Wage	Controls	R ²	Number of Homes
(1)	1998/99	.136 (.025)			No	.04	641
(2)	1998/99	.141 (.035)			Yes	.19	598
(3)	1998/99		.664 (.118)		No	.06	641
(4)	1998/99		.693 (.159)		Yes	.21	598

Notes:

Sample is balanced panel of homes. Standard errors in parentheses. Control variable are the initial proportion female, proportion with nursing qualification, proportion of care assistants and average age (all workers), occupancy rate, proportion of local authority/dss residents, county and response month dummies.

Table 4: Changes in Log Wages and Initial Period Wage Measures in the Period Surrounding Minimum Wage Introduction Compared to an Earlier Period (When no Minimum Wage Was in Place)

Change in Log Average Hourly Wage				
		1998/99: Period Surrounding Minimum Wage Introduction	1992/93: Earlier Time Period Where no Minimum Wage was in Place	Gap (standard error)
(1)	Initial period log wage	-.360 (.040)	-.174 (.057)	-.186 (.070)
(2)	Initial period low pay proportion (counterfactual in 1992/93)	.147 (.013)	.019 (.013)	.126 (.026)
(3)	Initial period wage gap (counterfactual in 1992/93)	.800 (.070)	.225 (.102)	.575 (.124)

Notes:

Based on 641 homes in 1998/99 and 231 homes in 1992/93. Standard errors are in parentheses.

**Table 5: Changes in Log Employment and Hours
and Initial Period Wage Measures in the Period Surrounding Minimum Wage
Introduction Compared to an Earlier Period (When no Minimum Wage was in Place)**

Change in Log Number Employed				
		1998/99: Period Surrounding Minimum Wage Introduction	1992/93: Earlier Time Period Where no Minimum Wage was in Place	Gap (standard error)
(1)	Initial period log wage	.108 (.082)	-.190 (.147)	.299 (.168)
(2)	Initial period low pay proportion (counterfactual in 1992/93)	-.059 (.042)	-.022 (.044)	-.037 (.061)
(3)	Initial period wage gap (counterfactual in 1992/93)	-.173 (.108)	.053 (.137)	-.226 (.174)
Change in Log Total Hours				
		1998/99: Period Surrounding Minimum Wage Introduction	1992/93: Earlier Time Period Where no Minimum Wage was in Place	Gap (standard error)
(1)	Initial period log wage	.153 (.093)	-.220 (.165)	.375 (.189)
(2)	Initial period low pay proportion (counterfactual in 1992/93)	-.069 (.046)	.028 (.053)	-.097 (.069)
(3)	Initial period wage gap (counterfactual in 1992/93)	-.313 (.135)	.365 (.180)	-.678 (.224)

Notes:

Based on 641 homes in 1998/99 and 231 homes in 1992/93. Standard errors are in parentheses.

Table 6: Changes in Log Employment and Hours and Initial Period Minimum Wage Measures in the Period Surrounding Minimum Wage Introduction

	Change in Log Number Employed							
	Reduced Form Models						Structural Models	
	(1)	(2)	(3) Clean panel	(4)	(5)	(6) Clean panel	(7) IV estimates	(8) Clean panel, IV estimates
Initial Proportion Paid Less Than Minimum Wage	-.059 (.042)	-.136 (.056)	-.165 (.057)					
Initial Wage Gap				-.173 (.108)	-.281 (.141)	-.552 (.259)		
Change in Log Hourly Wage							-.345 (.159)	-.561 (.317)
Demographic Variables		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Firm Characteristics Variables		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Response Month Dummies		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Average Elasticity: (4.00-3.60)	-.14	-.31	-.38	-.08	-.14	-.27		
Observations	641	598	575	641	598	575	598	575
R-squared	.003	.155	.152	.001	.149	.143		
	Change in Log Total Hours							
	Reduced Form Models						Structural Models	
	(1)	(2)	(3) Clean panel	(4)	(5)	(6) Clean panel	(7) IV estimates	(8) Clean panel, IV estimates
Initial Proportion Paid Less Than Minimum Wage	-.069 (.046)	-.144 (.066)	-.170 (.066)					
Initial Wage Gap				-.310 (.135)	-.402 (.145)	-.509 (.311)		
Change in Log Hourly Wage							-.494 (.289)	-.518 (.353)
Demographic Variables		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Firm Characteristics Variables		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Response Month Dummies		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Average Elasticity (4.00-3.60)	-.16	-.33	-.39	-.15	-.20	-.25		
Observations	641	598	575	641	598	575	598	575
R-squared	.003	.135	.148	.004	.132	.139		

Notes:

Sample is balanced panel of homes. Standard errors in parentheses. Control variables are the initial proportion female, proportion with nursing qualification, proportion of care assistants and average age (all workers), occupancy rate, proportion of local authority/dss residents, county and response month dummies.

Table 7: Home Closures and the Minimum Wage

	Home Closures			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Initial Proportion Paid Less Than Minimum Wage	0.017 (0.178) [0.004]	0.044 (0.222) [0.009]		
Initial Wage Gap			1.381 (0.889) [0.294]	1.473 (0.994) [0.292]
Demographic Variables		Yes		Yes
Home Characteristics Variables		Yes		Yes
Response Month Dummies		Yes		Yes
Log-Likelihood	-266.05	-237.36	-264.86	-236.29
Number of Homes	682	647	682	647
Number of Closures	90	85	90	85

Notes:

Probit coefficient estimates, standard errors in parentheses. Marginal effects in square brackets. Control variable are the initial proportion female, proportion with nursing qualification, proportion of care assistants and average age (all workers), occupancy rate, proportion of local authority/dss residents, county and response month dummies.

Table 8: County-level Closures and Entrants and the Minimum Wage

	County Closure Rate			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Initial Proportion Paid Less Than Minimum Wage	0.074 (0.242) [0.007]	0.285 (0.268) [0.025]		
Initial Wage Gap			0.458 (1.347) [0.040]	1.490 (1.430) [0.132]
Demographic Variables		Yes		Yes
Home Characteristics Variables		Yes		Yes
R-Squared	0.03	0.18	0.03	0.18
Number of Counties	55	55	55	55
	County Entry Rate			
	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Initial Proportion Paid Less Than Minimum Wage	-0.418 (0.430) [-0.022]	-0.265 (0.463) [0.014]		
Initial Wage Gap			-1.080 (2.409) [-0.056]	0.254 (2.481) [0.013]
Demographic Variables		Yes		Yes
Home Characteristics Variables		Yes		Yes
R-Squared	0.02	0.22	0.00	0.21
Number of Counties	55	55	55	55

Notes:

Sample is county level panel data compiled from the unbalanced panel of care homes. Closure rate refers to proportion of estimated home closures of all homes by county; dependent variable is the logistic transformation $\log [p/(1-p)]$. Entrant rate refers to proportion of estimated new homes of all homes by county; dependent variable is $\log ((p+1/(2n))/(1-p+1/(2n)))$, with the correction included due to zero entry rates in 2 counties. Standard errors in parentheses. Marginal effects in square brackets. Control variables are the initial proportion female, proportion with nursing qualification, proportion of care assistants and average age (all workers), occupancy rate, proportion of local authority/dss residents, county and response month dummies.


Table 9: Prices, Productivity and the Minimum Wage

	Change in Log Average Price			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Initial Proportion Paid Less Than Minimum Wage	-0.001 (0.024)	-0.013 (0.027)		
Initial Wage Gap			0.116 (0.145)	0.048 (0.155)
Demographic Variables		Yes		Yes
Home Characteristics Variables		Yes		Yes
Response Month Dummies		Yes		Yes
R-Squared	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.11
Number of Homes	572	501	572	501
	Change in Log Residents Per Worker Hour			
	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Initial Proportion Paid Less Than Minimum Wage	0.103 (0.044)	0.068 (0.047)		
Initial Wage Gap			0.334 (0.275)	0.111 (0.273)
Demographic Variables		Yes		Yes
Home Characteristics Variables		Yes		Yes
Response Month Dummies		Yes		Yes
R-Squared	0.01	0.21	0.00	0.20
Number of Homes	586	514	586	514
	Subjective Responses on Change in Worker Effort			
	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
Initial Proportion Paid Less Than Minimum Wage	0.063 (0.183)	0.100 (0.201)		
Initial Wage Gap			0.530 (1.085)	0.922 (1.166)
Demographic Variables		Yes		Yes
Home Characteristics Variables		Yes		Yes
Response Month Dummies		Yes		Yes
Log-Likelihood	-245.24	-210.91	-245.18	-210.72
Number of Homes	561	486	561	486

Notes:

As for Table 6. Effort variable coded as an ordered response based on answers to the question ‘Has the minimum wage had an impact on work effort in your business? No/Yes – Decrease/Yes-Increase’. It is ordered from 0 (decrease), 1 (no change), to 2 (increase). Ordered probit coefficients (and associated standard errors) reported for this variable.

Figure 1
Numbers of Private Sector Care Assistants, 1994-2001



Source: Labour Force Survey. Each point corresponds to the mid-month of each LFS quarter. Vertical line corresponds to minimum wage introduction in April 1999.

Figure 2a
The Relationship Between Wage Growth and Initial Wages: 1998/99




Figure 2b
The Relationship Between Wage Growth and Initial Wages: 1992/93




Figure 3a
The Relationship Between the Change in Log Total Employment and Initial Proportion Low-Paid




Figure 3b
The Relationship Between the Change in Log Total Employment and Initial Wage Gap




Figure 4a
The Relationship Between the Change in Log Total Hours and Initial Proportion Low-Paid





Figure 4b
The Relationship Between the Change in Log Total Hours and Initial Wage Gap



Appendix: Pre Introduction Survey

MINIMUM WAGE SURVEY

The National Minimum Wage

1. Before receiving this questionnaire were you aware that a National Minimum Wage is to be introduced next year?

yes _____ no _____

2. What do you think the minimum hourly pay rate for adults will be? _____

3. Do you think this is about right, too high or too low?

About right _____ Too high _____ Too low _____

4. What impact do you think the national minimum wage will have on your business?

Your Business

1. What type of business do you run?

Private _____ Voluntary _____ Local authority _____

2. Is your business part of a larger organisation?

yes _____ no _____

3. How many registered beds do you have? _____

4. How many residents do you have at the moment? _____

5. How many of your residents at the moment require specialist care? _____

6. What is the typical weekly charge for a resident in your home? _____

7. How many of the current residents are paid for by the DSS
and/or Local Authority Social Services? _____

Your Workers

1. How many employees do you have at the moment (full and part-time)? _____

2. In the past three months how many workers have you recruited in total? _____

3. In the past three months how many workers have left in total? _____

4. Approximately what percentage of your total costs are labour costs? _____

5. For all your current employees could you please provide the following information: job title, sex, age, length of service, possession of a nursing qualification, hours worked and basic hourly wages (excluding higher nightwork rates etc).

	Job title	Sex (M/F)	Age	Length of Service	Nursing Qualification	Weekly Hours	Basic hourly wage
1.							
2.							
3.							
4.							
5.							
6.							
7.							
8.							
9.							
10.							
11.							
12.							
13.							
14.							
15.							
16.							
17.							
18.							
19.							
20.							

If you have more than 20 employees please continue overleaf.

	Job title	Sex (M/F)	Age	Length of Service	Nursing Qualific- ation	Weekly Hours	Basic hourly wage
21.							
22.							
23.							
24.							
25.							
26.							
27.							
28.							
29.							
30.							
31.							
32.							
33.							
34.							
35.							
36.							
37.							
38.							
39.							
40.							
41.							
42.							
43.							
44.							

Thank you for your cooperation.

Appendix: Post Introduction Survey

MINIMUM WAGE SURVEY

The National Minimum Wage

1. The National Minimum Wage was introduced in April 1999. Do you think that the level was:

About right _____ Too high _____ Too low _____

2. What impact do you think the National Minimum Wage has had on your business?

3. Has the minimum wage had an impact on any of the following in your business?

Employment Yes _____ No _____ If Yes: Decrease _____ Increase _____

Hours Yes _____ No _____ If Yes: Decrease _____ Increase _____

Output Yes _____ No _____ If Yes: Decrease _____ Increase _____

Prices Yes _____ No _____ If Yes: Decrease _____ Increase _____

Work Effort Yes _____ No _____ If Yes: Decrease _____ Increase _____

Your Business

1. What type of business do you run?

Private _____ Voluntary _____ Local authority _____

2. Is your business part of a larger organisation?

Yes _____ No _____

3. How many registered beds do you have? _____

4. How many residents do you have at the moment? _____

5. How many of your residents at the moment require specialist care? _____

6. What is the typical weekly charge for a resident in your home? _____

7. How many of the current residents are paid for by the DSS
and/or Local Authority Social Services? _____

Your Workers

1. How many employees do you have at the moment (full and part-time)? _____

2. In the past three months how many workers have you recruited in total? _____

3. In the past three months how many workers have left in total? _____

4. Approximately what percentage of your total costs are labour costs? _____

5. For all your current employees could you please provide the following information: job title, sex, age, length of service, possession of a nursing qualification, hours worked and basic hourly wages (excluding higher nightwork rates etc).

	Job title	Sex (M/F)	Age	Length of Service	Nursing Qualification	Weekly Hours	Basic hourly wage
1.							
2.							
3.							
4.							
5.							
6.							
7.							
8.							
9.							
10.							
11.							
12.							
13.							
14.							
15.							
16.							
17.							
18.							
19.							
20.							

If you have more than 20 employees please continue overleaf.

	Job title	Sex (M/F)	Age	Length of Service	Nursing Qualific- ation	Weekly Hours	Basic hourly wage
21.							
22.							
23.							
24.							
25.							
26.							
27.							
28.							
29.							
30.							
31.							
32.							
33.							
34.							
35.							
36.							
37.							
38.							
39.							
40.							
41.							
42.							
43.							
44.							

Thank you very much for your cooperation

References

- Brown, C. (1999), 'Minimum Wages, Employment, and the Distribution of Income', Chapter 32 in Orley Ashenfelter and David Card (eds.), Handbook of Labor Economics, North Holland Press.
- Brown, C., Gilroy, C. and Kohen, A. (1982), 'The Effect of the Minimum Wage on Employment and Unemployment', Journal of Economic Literature, 20, 487-528.
- Card, D. (1992), 'Using Regional Variation in Wages to Measure the Effects of the Federal Minimum Wage', Industrial and Labor Relations Review, 46, 22-37.
- Card, D. and Krueger, A. (1994), 'Minimum Wages and Employment: A Case Study of the Fast-Food Industry in New Jersey', American Economic Review, 84, 772-93.
- Card, D. and Krueger, A. (1995), *Myth and Measurement: The New Economics of the Minimum Wage*, Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Castillo-Freeman, A. and Freeman, R. (1991), 'Minimum Wages and Employment in Puerto Rico. Textbook Case of a Wage Floor?', Industrial Relations Research Association 43rd Annual Proceedings, 243-53.
- Dickens, R., Machin, S. and Manning, A. (1999), 'The Effects of Minimum Wages on Employment: Theory and Evidence From Britain', Journal of Labor Economics, 17, 1-22.
- Dickens, R. and Manning, A. (2001), 'The National Minimum Wage and Wage Inequality', mimeo.
- Dolado, J., Kramarz, F., Machin, S., Manning, A., Margolis, D. and Teulings, C. (1996), 'The Economic Impact of Minimum Wages in Europe', Economic Policy, 23, 317-72.
- Hamermesh, D. (1993), *Labor Demand*, Princeton University Press.
- Hamermesh, D. (2002), International Labor Economics, National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper 8757.
- Katz, L. and Krueger, A. (1992), 'The Effects of Minimum Wages on the Fast-Food Industry', Industrial and Labor Relations Review, 46, 6-21.
- Low Pay Commission (1998), *The National Minimum Wage*, Cmd 3976, London: Stationery Office.
- Machin, S., Manning, A. and Woodland, S. (1993), 'Are Workers Paid Their Marginal Product? Evidence From a Low Wage Labour Market', Discussion Paper No.93-09, University College London.
- Metcalf, D. (1999), 'The Low Pay Commission and the National Minimum Wage', Economic Journal Features, 109, F46-F66.

Stewart, M. (2001), 'The Impact of the Introduction of the UK Minimum Wage on the Employment Probabilities of Low Wage Workers', University of Warwick mimeo.

CENTRE FOR ECONOMIC PERFORMANCE
Recent Discussion Papers

543	R. Belfield D. Marsden	Matchmaking: the Influence of Monitoring Environments on the Effectiveness of Performance Pay Systems
542	C. A. Pissarides	Consumption and Savings With Unemployment Risk: Implications for Optimal Employment Contracts
541	M. Amiti C. A. Pissarides	Trade and Industrial Location with Heterogeneous Labor
540	G. Duranton H. G. Overman	Testing for Localisation Using Micro-Geographic Data
539	D. Metcalf	Unions and Productivity, Financial Performance and Investment: International Evidence
538	F. Collard R. Fonseca R. Muñoz	Spanish Unemployment Persistence and the Ladder Effect
537	C. L. Mann E. E. Meade	Home Bias, Transactions Costs, and Prospects for the Euro: A More Detailed Analysis
536	M. Manacorda E. Moretti	Intergenerational Transfers and Household Structure. Why Do Most Italian Youths Live With Their Parents?
535	D. Quah	One Third of the World's Growth and Inequality
534	D. Quah	Matching Demand and Supply in a Weightless Economy: Market Driven Creativity With and Without IPRs
533	R. Dickens A. Manning	Has the National Minimum Wage Reduced UK Wage Inequality?
532	S. Machin A. Manning	The Structure of Wages in What Should be a Competitive Labour Market
531	R. Lydon A. Chevalier	Estimates of the Effect of Wages on Job Satisfaction
530	A. Bryson	The Union Membership Wage Premium: An Analysis Using Propensity Score Matching
529	H. Gray	Family Friendly Working: What a Performance! An Analysis of the Relationship Between the Availability of Family Friendly Policies and Establishment Performance

528	E. Mueller A. Spitz	Managerial Ownership and Firm Performance in German Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises
527	D. Acemoglu J-S. Pischke	Minimum Wages and On-the-Job Training
526	J. Schmitt J. Wadsworth	Give PC's a Chance: Personal Computer Ownership and the Digital Divide in the United States and Great Britain
525	S. Fernie H. Gray	It's a Family Affair: the Effect of Union Recognition and Human Resource Management on the Provision of Equal Opportunities in the UK
524	N. Crafts A. J. Venables	Globalization in History: a Geographical Perspective
523	E. E. Meade D. Nathan Sheets	Regional Influences on US Monetary Policy: Some Implications for Europe
522	D. Quah	Technology Dissemination and Economic Growth: Some Lessons for the New Economy
521	D. Quah	Spatial Agglomeration Dynamics
520	C. A. Pissarides	Company Start-Up Costs and Employment
519	D. T. Mortensen C. A. Pissarides	Taxes, Subsidies and Equilibrium Labor Market Outcomes
518	D. Clark R. Fahr	The Promise of Workplace Training for Non-College Bound Youth: Theory and Evidence from Germany
517	J. Blanden A. Goodman P. Gregg S. Machin	Change in Intergenerational Mobility in Britain
516	A. Chevalier T. K. Viitanen	The Long-Run Labour Market Consequences of Teenage Motherhood in Britain
515	A. Bryson R. Gomez M. Gunderson N. Meltz	Youth Adult Differences in the Demand for Unionisation: Are American, British and Canadian Workers That Different?

To order a discussion paper, please contact the Publications Unit
Tel 020 7955 7673 Fax 020 7955 7595 Email info@cep.lse.ac.uk
Web site <http://cep.lse.ac.uk>