Does your degree class make a difference to your later experiences in the labour market? To try to answer this question, we have analysed the (fully anonymised) exam marks of LSE undergraduates between 2005 and 2010, and combined them with the results of a survey of where these students landed jobs in the first year after they graduated. We find that:

- The average wage pay-off to a First compared with an Upper Second is pretty small – around a 3% higher expected wage.
- There is a bigger difference between an Upper Second and a Lower Second – a 2:1 is worth about 7% higher wages.
- There is a substantial gender split – men get about 6% in higher wages from a First, but women basically get nothing.
- In cash terms, this means that the men get a bonus for a First of about £1,780 in today’s money. If this difference remains over a 40-year career, this would be worth about £71,000.

To find out whether students’ final grades make a difference to their subsequent labour market earnings, we look at the expected wages of students who were just above the threshold of getting a First (Upper Second), compared with those who were just below and therefore got an Upper Second (Lower Second).

Since the critical threshold at LSE is getting Firsts in at least four papers (out of nine), we look at the mark of the fourth highest exam. Those who got 70% in their fourth paper should get a First; those who got 69% in their fourth paper should only get an Upper Second.

This technique, known as ‘regression discontinuity design’, works well because there shouldn’t be any difference in how smart or hard-working students are around this exact threshold, so this is a near-perfect control for ability. The only difference is the random luck of whether a student managed to get over the cliff for a First or was stuck with a 2:1.

The same technique can be used to examine the difference between an Upper Second and a Lower Second (the critical mark is 60% in the fourth exam in this case).

Remember this is not a pay-off to anything you might have learned at university or even your natural brilliance that the degree just puts a stamp on. It is the pure fortune of being lucky enough to get First rather than a 2:1.

Of course, the bonus for a First or Upper Second may actually wear off over time as employers figure out you are really a bit of a duffer. Or it may be that it gives you a leg up the greasy career pole.

The difference between monetary gains for men and women is a puzzle. Perhaps men are more likely to ask for or be given a higher wage offer. We honestly don’t know.

Our study is probably the best evidence available that exam results matter. But there’s a lot more work to be done in understanding what drives the gender split and figuring out if the differences in pay-offs by degree result eventually go away.
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It is possible that the earnings bonus for a First or Upper Second may wear off over time.