The most striking thing about the recent volatility of global financial markets is that politicians are making the news. The actions of policy-makers and their statements about budgets, bailouts and regulatory reforms are driving the stock market gyrations.

This is not normal. Before the financial crisis of 2008, stock markets usually moved in response to economic news. Strong GDP and employment figures would send the markets soaring. Poor corporate earnings would send the markets crashing.

But today, all eyes are on the policy-makers. Unfortunately, they cannot agree, which is generating massive uncertainty. In fact, according to our new index, which charts the evolution of US economic policy uncertainty since 1985, it is now close to its all-time high (see Figure 1). This policy uncertainty is a key factor stalling the recovery and threatening a return to recession.

We construct our index of policy uncertainty by combining three types of information: the frequency of newspaper articles containing 'uncertain' or 'uncertainty', 'economic' or 'economy', and policy-relevant terms (scaled by the smoothed number of articles containing 'today'); the number of tax laws expiring in coming years; and a composite of quarterly forecasts of government expenditures and one-year CPI (consumer price index) from the Philadelphia Fed Survey of Forecasters. The data are available at www.policyuncertainty.com.

### Policy uncertainty: a new indicator

![Figure 1: Index of US economic policy uncertainty](image-url)

**Notes:** The index is composed of three sets of measures: monthly news articles containing ‘uncertain’ or ‘uncertainty’, ‘economic’ or ‘economy’, and policy-relevant terms (scaled by the smoothed number of articles containing ‘today’); the number of tax laws expiring in coming years; and a composite of quarterly forecasts of government expenditures and one-year CPI (consumer price index) from the Philadelphia Fed Survey of Forecasters. The data are available at www.policyuncertainty.com.
articles that reference economic uncertainty and the role of policy; the number of federal tax code provisions that are set to expire in coming years; and the extent of disagreement among economic forecasters about future inflation and future government spending on goods and services.

Our index shows sharp spikes in economic policy uncertainty around major elections, wars and the 9/11 terrorist attacks. More recently, it spiked sharply after the Lehman bankruptcy in September 2008 and the passage of the TARP (Troubled Asset Relief Program) legislation shortly afterwards. It has remained high ever since, driven by continuing policy uncertainty around the 2010 US mid-term elections, the US debt ceiling dispute and the crisis of the eurozone.

Of course, policy uncertainty could be high simply because general economic uncertainty is also high. To test this view, we use Google News listings to construct a broad index of economic uncertainty and a narrower index focused squarely on policy uncertainty.

Comparing these two indices reveals several episodes that involve large spikes in economic uncertainty but little or no jump in policy uncertainty (see Figure 2). Examples include the Asian financial crisis of 1997 and several bouts of recessionary fears in the second half of the 1980s. In short, the data refute the view that economic uncertainty necessarily breeds policy uncertainty.

So why is policy uncertainty so high now? To identify the drivers of policy uncertainty, we drill into the Google

Recent policy uncertainty has emerged from the US debt ceiling dispute and the eurozone crisis

Figure 2: Economic policy uncertainty and overall economic uncertainty

Notes: The overall news-based economic uncertainty index is composed of the monthly number of news articles containing ‘uncertain’ or ‘uncertainty’ as well as ‘economic’ or ‘economy’ (scaled by the smoothed number containing ‘today’).
What makes policy uncertainty so harmful? When businesses are uncertain about taxes, healthcare costs and regulatory initiatives, they adopt a cautious stance. Because it is costly to make a hiring or investment mistake, many businesses naturally wait for calmer times to expand. If too many businesses wait to expand, the recovery never takes off. Weak investments in capital goods, product development and worker training also undermine longer-run growth.

How much near-term improvement could we expect from a stable, certainty-enhancing policy regime? We use techniques developed by Christopher Sims, one of the two 2011 Nobel laureates in economics, to estimate the effects of economic policy uncertainty. The results for the United States suggest that restoring 2006 (pre-crisis) levels of policy uncertainty could increase industrial production by 4% and employment by 2.5 million jobs over 18 months (see Figure 3). That would not be enough to create a booming economy, but it would be a big step in the right direction.

Figure 3: US production and employment after a policy uncertainty shock
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